EEB 581, Problem Set Four Solutions

1 : Consider a one-way ANOVA design with 5 factors and 10 replicates per factor. Suppose that factor variance σ_τ^2 is ten percent of the total variance σ_T^2 (i.e., $\sigma_\tau^2/\sigma_T^2 = 0.10$).

(a) Given that the total variance equals the treatment plus error variance ($\sigma_T^2 = \sigma_\tau^2 + \sigma_e^2$), what is σ_τ^2/σ_e^2?

Note that $\sigma_T^2 = \sigma_\tau^2 + \sigma_e^2$ implies $\sigma_T^2/\sigma_\tau^2 = 1 + \sigma_e^2/\sigma_\tau^2$, or that $\sigma_e^2/\sigma_\tau^2 = \sigma_T^2/\sigma_\tau^2 - 1$, and hence

$$\sigma_\tau^2/\sigma_e^2 = \frac{1}{\sigma_T^2/\sigma_\tau^2 - 1} = \frac{1}{1/0.1 - 1} = \frac{1}{9} = 0.1111$$

(b) What is the 95% critical value for the F-test?

The 95% critical value f satisfies $\Pr(F_{N-1,N(n-1)} \leq f) = 0.95$. Here $N = 5, n = 10$. Using R, we find that

```
> qf(0.95, 5-1,5*(10-1))
[1] 2.578739
```

(c) What is the power of this design (assuming a test of $\alpha = 0.05$) for a fixed-effects ANOVA?

From Equation A5.23 in the notes, the power is given by

$$\Pr(F_{N-1,N(n-1),\lambda} \geq 2.578739)$$

where the noncentrality parameter $\lambda = n(N-1)\sigma_\tau^2/\sigma_e^2 = 10 * 4 * (1/9)$. In R, we can compute this by using

```
> 1-pf(2.578739,5-1,5*(10-1),10*4/9)
[1] 0.3207070
```
Note that we used 1-pf, as pf returns the probability of being $\leq x$, while we wish the probability of being $\geq x$. Note we could also have used

```
> 1-pf(qf(0.95, 5-1,5*(10-1)),5-1,5*(10-1),10*4/9)
```
directly having R recompute the critical value.

(d) What is the power of this design under a random-effects ANOVA?

Recalling Equation A5.30b in the notes, the power is given by

$$\Pr\left[F_{N-1,N(n-1)} > \frac{F_{N-1,N(n-1),[1-\alpha]}}{1 + n\left(\sigma_\tau^2/\sigma_e^2\right)}\right] = \Pr\left[F_{N-1,N(n-1)} > \frac{2.578739}{1 + n\left(\sigma_\tau^2/\sigma_e^2\right)}\right]$$

In R,

```
> 1- pf(2.578739/(1+10/9),5-1,5*(10-1))
[1] 0.3150735
```
Giving a power close too, but slight less than, a fixed-effects design.

(e) Given these sample sizes, what is the smallest value of σ_τ^2/σ_e^2 that gives a (fixed-effects) 95% test a power of 0.90? (You will need to do this, and some the remaining problems, by trial and error.)

We try various values of σ_τ^2/σ_e^2:

```
> 1-pf(2.578739,5-1,5*(10-1),10*4*(1/2)) # trying 1/2
[1] 0.943019
1-pf(2.578739,5-1,5*(10-1),10*4*(0.4)) # trying 0.4
[1] 0.8768408
1-pf(2.578739,5-1,5*(10-1),10*4*(0.43)) # trying 0.43
```

```
[1] 0.9015374
```
Hence $\sigma_\tau^2/\sigma_e^2 = 0.43$, or (rearraning results from (a)),

$$\sigma_\tau^2/\sigma_T^2 = \frac{1}{1 + 1/(\sigma_\tau^2/\sigma_e^2)} = \frac{1}{1 + 1/0.43} = 0.30$$

Hence, this design has the power to detect an effect accounting for 30% or more of the total variation.

Note that we could also solve this more quickly by using the graphics commands in R, plotting power as a function of varying values of σ_τ^2/σ_e^2. In particular to example power for $0.1 \le \sigma_\tau^2/\sigma_e^2 \le 0.9$, the R code is

```
> curve(1-pf(2.578739,5-1,5*(10-1),10*4*x), 0.1,0.9)
```
which returns a nice graph. We can focus in on finer regions of the cruve by restircting the interval to smaller regions, e.g.,

```
> curve(1-pf(2.578739,5-1,5*(10-1),10*4*x), 0.4,0.5)
```

(f) Given these sample sizes, what is the smallest value of σ_τ^2/σ_e^2 that gives a random-effects 95% test a power of 0.90?

Here, we need to solve for σ_τ^2/σ_e^2 in

$$\Pr\left[F_{N-1,N(n-1)} > \frac{2.578739}{1 + n\left(\sigma_\tau^2/\sigma_e^2\right)} \right] = 0.9$$

Using R [`qf(0.1,5-1,5*(10-1))`], we find that $\Pr\left[F_{N-1,N(n-1)} > 0.26323 \right] = 0.9$, hence we solve for

$$\frac{2.578739}{1 + 10\left(\sigma_\tau^2/\sigma_e^2\right)} = 0.26323$$

giving = $\sigma_\tau^2/\sigma_e^2 = 0.8796524$, so that σ_τ^2 must account for at least 46.8% of the total variance (using the expression in part (f) to covert σ_τ^2/σ_e^2 into σ_τ^2/σ_T^2.)

(g) How many replicates per factor are needed to give the fixed-effects ANOVA a power of 90% under a test of significant with $\alpha = 0.05$?

Once again, we can use trail and error, varying n to solve

$$\Pr(F_{5-1,5(n-1),n(5-1)/9} \ge F_{5-1,5(n-1),[0.95]}) = 0.9$$

in R, we first try $n = 30$,
```
> n <- 30; 1-pf(qf(0.95, 5-1,5*(n-1)),5-1,5*(n-1),n*4/9)
[1] 0.8339192
n <- 35; 1-pf(qf(0.95, 5-1,5*(n-1)),5-1,5*(n-1),n*4/9)
[1] 0.8940105
n <- 36; 1-pf(qf(0.95, 5-1,5*(n-1)),5-1,5*(n-1),n*4/9)
[1] 0.9034603
```
$n = 36$ it is.

(h) How many replicates per factor are needed to give the random-effects ANOVA a power of 90% under a test of significant with $\alpha = 0.05$? (Again, need to use trail and error)

Here we need to find n such that

$$\Pr\left[F_{5-1,5(n-1)} > \frac{F_{5-1,5(n-1),[0.95]}}{1 + n/9} \right] = 0.9$$

in R, we first try $n = 80$,
```
n <- 80; 1-pf(qf(0.95, 5-1,5*(n-1))/(1+n/9),5-1,5*(n-1))
```

```
[1] 0.914335
> n <- 73; 1-pf(qf(0.95, 5-1,5*(n-1))/(1+n/9),5-1,5*(n-1))
[1] 0.9015613
> n <- 72; 1-pf(qf(0.95, 5-1,5*(n-1))/(1+n/9),5-1,5*(n-1))
[1] 0.8995078
```
giving $n = 73$.

2 : Optimal design for a random-effects ANOVA. Suppose you have a total $T = 100$ measurements that you can make, and you have to decide how best to allocate them over N and n in a random-effects design. Should one chose more factors (increase N) at the expense of fewer replicates n per factor? Obviously, there is some intermediate trade-off between the two. Suppose that the factor variance is $\sigma_\tau^2 = 10$ and the error variance $\sigma_e^2 = 20$.

(a) Compute the power of this design for the following combinations of N and n:

      50,2   33,3   25,4   20,5   10,10 5,20   4,25   3,33   2,50

Hint: It might make sense to first write an R function to do this for arbitary N, n

    `fcrit <- function(N,n,alpha) qf(1-alpha,N-1,N*(n-1))` is the **R** code for the α-level critical value, $F_{N-1,N(n-1),[1-\alpha]}$ under the null hypothesis. Recall (Equation A5.30b in the power notes) that the power is

$$\Pr\left(F_{N-1,N(n-1)} > \frac{F_{N-1,N(n-1),[1-\alpha]}}{1 + n\sigma_\tau^2/\sigma_e^2} \right)$$

Using the above function, a new function, **fpower** can be written in **R** as
`fpower <- function(N,n,alpha,var) 1-pf(fcrit(N,n,alpha)/(1+n*var),N-1,N*(n-1))`
where var $= \sigma_\tau^2/\sigma_e^2$. For example, `fpower(33,3,0.05,10/20)` returns `[1] 0.9107213`. Running through the above values of N, n,

50,2	33,3	25,4	20,5	10,10	5,20	4,25	3,33	2,50
0.7805	0.9107	0.9472	0.9593	0.9626	0.9242	0.8962	0.8384	0.6980

(b) What is the optimal design (i.e., which combination of N and n gives the largest power)?

      $N = n = 10$

(c) Repeat (a) and (b) assuming $\sigma_\tau^2 = 20$, $\sigma_e^2 = 10$

50,2	33,3	25,4	20,5	10,10	5,20	4,25	3,33	2,50
0.99995	0.99999	0.99999	0.99998	0.9996	0.9932	0.9838	0.9549	0.8459